

POSTS GROUPED BY SUBJECT AREA

I. Scope of the Convention and EU law

- *PT* (2024) — (« “The fields covered by Union law”: not outside the fields covered by the Convention – Judgment of the CJEU in the case of *PT* »)
- *M.B. v. the Netherlands* (2024) — (« The Convention and the Reception Conditions Directive: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of *M.B. v. the Netherlands* »)
- *Freire Lopes* (2023) — (« Same national legislation examined in Luxembourg and Strasbourg: decision by the ECtHR in the case of *Freire Lopes* »)

II. Rule of law and judicial independence

Judicial independence

- *Rzecznik Praw Obywatelskich* (2026) — (« Judicial independence between the power of the facts and the power of the law? Judgment of the CJEU in *Rzecznik Praw Obywatelskich* »)
- *AW ‘T’ / Wałęsa v. Poland* (2025) — (« What a difference a composition makes... Comparing *AW ‘T’* with *Walesa v. Poland* on the lack of judicial independence and the use of extraordinary appeals in Poland »)
- *Krajowa Rada Sądownictwa* (2023) — (« The very essence or mere appearances? Judgment of the CJEU in the case of *Krajowa Rada Sądownictwa* »)
- *Dolińska-Ficek and Ozimek v. Poland* (2021) — (« The Polish Chamber of Extraordinary Review and Public Affairs not an “independent and impartial tribunal established by law”: judgment by the ECtHR in the case of *Dolińska-Ficek and Ozimek v. Poland* »)
- *W.Ż.* (2021) — (« EU law requirements of an independent and impartial tribunal previously established by law: judgment of the CJEU in the case of *W.Ż.* »)
- *Reczkowicz v. Poland* (2021) — (« Disciplinary Chamber of the Polish Supreme Court: judgment of the ECHR in *Reczkowicz v. Poland* »)
- *Commission v. Poland* (2021) — (« Disciplinary regime applicable to Polish judges: judgment of the CJEU in the case of *Commission v. Poland* »)
- *A.K. and Others* (2019) — (« Judgment of the CJEU in the case of *A.K. and Others* (Independence of the Disciplinary Chamber of the Supreme Court) »)

Access to justice and effective judicial protection

- *Mincu Pătrașcu Brâncuși v. EPPO* (2026) — (« [What is a complete system of legal remedies and procedures? Judgment of the CJEU in Mincu Pătrașcu Brâncuși v. EPPO](#) »)
- *Georgiou v. Greece* (2023) — (« [Reopening of domestic proceedings suggested following a failure to act upon a request for a preliminary ruling by the CJEU: judgment of the ECtHR in Georgiou v. Greece](#) »)
- *Spasov v. Romania* (2022) — (« [Denial of justice by not applying EU law: judgment of the ECHR in the case of Spasov v. Romania](#) »)
- *S.H. v. Malta* (2022) — (« [The right to an effective remedy in the context of asylum proceedings: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of S.H. v. Malta](#) »)
- *Deutsche Umwelthilfe* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Deutsche Umwelthilfe](#) »)

III. Criminal procedure

Fair trial and rights of the defence

- *Khuzdar and Höldermann* (2026) — (« [Can enforcement cure a flagrant denial of justice? Mutual recognition of convictions in absentia after the CJEU rulings in Khuzdar and Höldermann](#) »)
- *VB II* (2025) — (« [Convention protection of the absent accused lowered under EU law: judgment of the CJEU in the case of VB II](#) »)
- *PT* (2024) — (« [“The fields covered by Union law”: not outside the fields covered by the Convention – Judgment of the CJEU in the case of PT](#) »)
- *Stachev* (2024) — (« [Raising and lowering of the Strasbourg standards regarding judicial review of detention – judgment of the CJEU in Stachev](#) »)
- *K.B. and F.S.* (2023) — (« [The CJEU goes for the Strasbourg test of the “proceedings as a whole”: Judgment of the CJEU in K.B. and F.S.](#) »)
- *HN and DD* (2022) — (« [Procedural rights in criminal proceedings and the European Convention on Human Rights: judgments by the CJEU in the cases of HN and DD](#) »)
- *Spetsializirana prokuratura* (2022) — (« [The right to a new trial following a conviction in absentia: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Spetsializirana prokuratura](#) »)
- *HYA and Others* (2022) — (« [The right to cross-examine witnesses, a tale of two methodologies: judgment of the CJEU in the case of HYA and Others](#) »)
- *Delta Stroy 2003* (2022) — (« [Enjoyment by private companies of the presumption of innocence and the rights of the defence: judgment by the CJEU in the case of Delta Stroy 2003](#) »)

- *IS* (2021) — (« [The right to information and interpretation in criminal proceedings: judgment of the CJEU in the case of IS](#) »)
- *Consob* (2021) — (« [Right to silence under the EU-Charter – judgment of the CJEU in the Consob case](#) »)
- *Gambino and Hyka* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Gambino and Hyka](#) »)
- *Rayonna prokuratura Lom* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Rayonna prokuratura Lom](#) »)

Non bis in idem

- *Engie România SA* (2025) — (« [Case-law consistency on ne bis in idem: judgment of the CJEU in Engie România SA](#) »)
- *MV-98* (2023) — (« [More confusion about ne bis in idem: judgment of the CJEU in the case of MV-98](#) »)
- *Generalstaatsanwaltschaft Bamberg* (2023) — (« [A different “ne bis in idem” in Luxembourg? Judgment of the CJEU in Generalstaatsanwaltschaft Bamberg](#) »)
- *BV* (2022) — (« [Non bis in idem: between Menci and bpost – Judgment of the CJEU in the BV case](#) »)
- *bpost* (2022) — (« [“Non bis in idem” in dual proceedings: CJEU judgment in the bpost case](#) »)

IV. Judicial cooperation and mutual recognition

European arrest warrant

- *GN* (2023) — (« [Is the CJEU creating two different categories of fundamental rights? Judgment of the CJEU in the case of GN](#) »)
- *Preventive Convention control by the ECtHR over the execution of European arrest warrants* (2023)
- *E.D.L.* (2023) — (« [One step is enough? Judgment of the CJEU in E.D.L.](#) »)
- *Puig Gordi and Others* (2023) — (« [General test made autonomous for the assessment of risks involved in the execution of a EAW: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Puig Gordi and Others](#) »)
- *Openbaar Ministerie (Tribunal established by law)* (2022) — (« [Risk of breach of the right to a tribunal established by law following the execution of a European arrest warrant: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Openbaar Ministerie \(Tribunal established by law\)](#) »)

- *Bivolaru and Moldovan v. France* (2021) — (« [Manifest deficiency in the execution of a European arrest warrant – judgment of the European Court of Human Rights in the case of Bivolaru and Moldovan v. France](#) »)
- *Openbaar Ministerie* (2020) — (« [European arrest warrant – independence of the judiciary: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Openbaar Ministerie](#) »)
- *German Constitutional Court EAW cases* (2020) — (« [German Federal Constitutional Court allows complaints against the execution of European arrest warrants](#) »)
- *Dorobantu* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Dorobantu](#) »)
- *Romeo Castaño v. Belgium* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the ECHR in Romeo Castaño v. Belgium](#) »)

Mutual recognition

- *Staatsanwaltschaft Aachen* (2023) — (« [Two steps of unequal weight? Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Staatsanwaltschaft Aachen](#) »)
- *Prokuratura Rejonowa Łódź-Bałuty* (2021) — (« [Rights of the defence and mutual recognition of financial penalties: judgment by the CJEU in the case of Prokuratura Rejonowa Łódź-Bałuty](#) »)
- *Centraal Justitieel Incassobureau* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Centraal Justitieel Incassobureau](#) »)

Preliminary rulings

- *KUBERA* (2024) — (« [An upgrading of the parties to the proceedings in the system of preliminary rulings: judgment of the CJEU in KUBERA](#) »)
- *Georgiou v. Greece* (2023) — (« [Reopening of domestic proceedings suggested following a failure to act upon a request for a preliminary ruling by the CJEU: judgment of the ECtHR in Georgiou v. Greece](#) »)
- *Rutar and Rutar Marketing D.O.O. v. Slovenia* (2022) — (« [No reasons given by a first-instance court for its refusal to seek a preliminary ruling: judgment of the ECHR in the case of Rutar and Rutar Marketing D.O.O. v. Slovenia](#) »)
- *Quintanel v. France* (2021) — (« [The ECHR recalls its case-law on the obligation for courts to give reasons when dismissing a request for a preliminary ruling by the CJEU: decision in the case of Quintanel v. France](#) »)
- *Sanofi Pasteur v. France* (2020) — (« [Judgment of the ECHR in the case of Sanofi Pasteur v. France](#) »)

V. Asylum, migration and international protection

Access to international protection

- *H.Q. and Others v. Hungary* (2025) — (« [European Courts united in ensuring an effective access to procedures of international protection: judgment of the ECtHR in H.Q. and Others v. Hungary](#) »)
- *Nuratau* (2025) — (« [In search of a missing holistic approach: judgment of the CJEU in Nuratau](#) »)
- *Staatssecretaris van Justitie en Veiligheid* (2023) — (« [Conditions for granting subsidiary protection “fully compatible” with – but not “more extensive” than – Strasbourg : judgment of the CJEU in the case of Staatssecretaris van Justitie en Veiligheid](#) »)
- *Commission v. Hungary* (2020) — (« [Effective access to international protection – detention of asylum seekers: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Commission v. Hungary](#) »)
- *Addis* (2020) — (« [Right of asylum seekers to be heard: judgment of the CJEU in the Addis case](#) »)

Dublin system, borders and expulsion

- *Tudmur* (2024) — (« [Breakdown of reception conditions for asylum seekers: Dublin not the whole story – judgment of the CJEU in Tudmur](#) »)
- *H.T. v. Germany and Greece* (2024) — (« [General presumption of compliance vs. systemic flaws – Judgment of the ECtHR in the case of H.T. v. Germany and Greece](#) »)
- *Staatssecretaris van Justitie en Veiligheid* (2024) — (« [Two different categories of fundamental rights under the Dublin III Regulation? Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Staatssecretaris van Justitie en Veiligheid](#) »)
- *Mansouri v. Italy* (2025) — (« [Domestic courts to verify Schengen Borders Code compliance: ECtHR ruling in Mansouri v. Italy](#) »)
- *Alace and Canpelli* (2025) — (« [How safe is the “safe country of origin” concept? Judgment of the CJEU in Alace and Canpelli](#) »)
- *Bevándorlási és Menekültügyi Hivatal* (2020) — (« [On the notion of safe third country: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Bevándorlási és Menekültügyi Hivatal](#) »)
- *S.H. v. Malta* (2022) — (« [The right to an effective remedy in the context of asylum proceedings: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of S.H. v. Malta](#) »)

VI. Privacy, data protection and personal identity

Data protection and privacy

- *Inspektorat kam Visshia sadeben savet* (2025) — (« [Personal data better protected under the Convention than under the GDPR: judgment of the CJEU in Inspektorat kam Visshia sadeben savet](#) »)
- *Deldits* (2025) — (« [The GDPR and the Convention, no strangers to each other – Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Deldits](#) »)
- *Jehovah’s Witnesses v. Finland* (2023) — (« [The requirement of consent by the data subject declared compatible with the Convention: Judgment of the ECtHR in Jehovah’s Witnesses v. Finland](#) »)
- *Willems v. the Netherlands* (2021) — (« [Bosphorus presumption applicable to the storing of biometric data on a passport: decision of the ECHR in the case of Willems v. the Netherlands](#) »)

Personal identity and autonomy

- *Mirin* (2024) — (« [Benchmark function of the Convention stressed by the CJEU in Mirin and Real Madrid Club de Fútbol](#) »)
- *Künsberg Sarre v. Austria* (2023) — (« [Imposed changes to the name of a person: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of Künsberg Sarre v. Austria](#) »)

Right to be forgotten and informational self-determination

- *Right to be Forgotten I and II* (2019) — (« [Landmark judgments of the German Constitutional Court \(“Right to be forgotten” I and II\)](#) »)

VII. Fundamental rights and equality

Freedom of expression and freedom of the press

- *Real Madrid Club de Fútbol* (2024) — (« [Benchmark function of the Convention stressed by the CJEU in Mirin and Real Madrid Club de Fútbol](#) »)
- *Funke Medien* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Funke Medien](#) »)

Freedom of religion

- *Executief van de Moslims van België and Others v. Belgium* (2024) — (« [Successive scrutiny of the same legislation in Luxembourg and Strasbourg: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of Executief van de Moslims van België and Others v. Belgium](#) »)
- *Commune d’Ans* (2023) — (« [Luxembourg not the end of the story on freedom of religion in the workplace? Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Commune d’Ans](#) »)
- *WABE and MH Müller Handel* (2021) — (« [Freedom to wear visible political, philosophical or religious signs in the workplace: judgment of the CJEU in the case of Wabe and MH Müller Handel](#) »)
- *Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België and Others* (2020) — (« [Applying the right to freedom of religion under the Charter, having regard to the Convention – judgment of the CJEU in the case of Centraal Israëlitisch Consistorie van België and Others](#) »)

Equality and non-discrimination

- *Ferrero Quintana* (2024) — (« [European harmony on age discrimination: judgment of the ECtHR in Ferrero Quintana](#) »)
- *Moraru v. Romania* (2022) — (« [Failure to “engage meaningfully” with CJEU case-law: judgment of the ECHR in the case of Moraru v. Romania](#) »)
- *Same-Sex Couples* (2023) — (« [Different ways to the same goal: Strasbourg and Luxembourg on same-sex couples](#) »)
- *Mirin* (2024) — (« [Benchmark function of the Convention stressed by the CJEU in Mirin and Real Madrid Club de Fútbol](#) »)

VIII. Family, children and personal status

International child abduction

- *TT* (2023) — (« [Which judge should assess the best interests of a wrongfully removed child? Judgment of the CJEU in TT](#) »)
- *Veres v. Spain* (2022) — (« [Breach of the right to family life following delayed return of a child ordered under the Brussels IIa Regulation: judgment of the ECHR in the case of Veres v. Spain](#) »)
- *Michnea v. Romania* (2020) — (« [International child abduction: judgment of the ECHR in the case of Michnea v. Romania](#) »)

Family life and personal status

- *Giannakopoulos v. Greece* (2024) — (« [Non-formalistic Convention control over the application of the Brussels II bis Regulation: judgment of the ECtHR in Giannakopoulos v. Greece](#) »)
- *Same-Sex Couples* (2023) — (« [Different ways to the same goal: Strasbourg and Luxembourg on same-sex couples](#) »)
- *Künsberg Sarre v. Austria* (2023) — (« [Imposed changes to the name of a person: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of Künsberg Sarre v. Austria](#) »)

IX. Human dignity, living conditions and detention

Human dignity and vulnerability

- *Luxembourg (Minister for Children) / Camara* (2025) — (« [What is the price of human dignity? Luxembourg \(Minister for Children\) and Strasbourg \(Camara\) interacting on extreme poverty of asylum seekers](#) »)
- *Nuratau* (2025) — (« [In search of a missing holistic approach: judgment of the CJEU in Nuratau](#) »)

Detention, liberty and living conditions

- *Stachev* (2024) — (« [Raising and lowering of the Strasbourg standards regarding judicial review of detention – judgment of the CJEU in Stachev](#) »)
- *M.B. v. the Netherlands* (2024) — (« [The Convention and the Reception Conditions Directive: judgment of the ECtHR in the case of M.B. v. the Netherlands](#) »)
- *R.R. and Others v. Hungary* (2021) — (« [Degrading treatment and deprivation of liberty in the Röszke transit zone](#) »)
- *FMS and Others* (2020) — (« [Detention of asylum seekers in the Röszke transit zone: judgment by the CJEU in the case of FMS and Others](#) »)
- *Ilias and Ahmed v. Hungary* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the ECHR in Ilias and Ahmed v. Hungary](#) »)
- *Deutsche Umwelthilfe* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Deutsche Umwelthilfe](#) »)

X. Environment, society and emerging challenges

Climate change and environmental protection

- *Greenpeace Nordic and Others v. Norway* (2025) — (« [A judicial, comprehensive and flexible Strasbourg response to climate change: judgment of the ECtHR in Greenpeace Nordic and Others v. Norway](#) »)
- *Ilva and Others / Cordella and Others v. Italy* (2024) — (« [Environmental pollution caused by the Ilva steelworks – judgment of the CJEU in Ilva and Others, compared with Cordella and Others v. Italy](#) »)
- *Deutsche Umwelthilfe* (2019) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of Deutsche Umwelthilfe](#) »)

Security, State interests and classified information

- *Conventional limits to EU law restrictions: when mutual trust meets human rights* (Bologna Tribunal, 2025) — (« [Conventional limits to EU law restrictions: when mutual trust meets human rights](#) »)
- *NW and PQ / Muhammad and Muhammad v. Romania* (2024) — (« [Similarities and differences between Strasbourg and Luxembourg on classified documents used in expulsion cases – Judgment of the CJEU in the cases of NW and PQ, compared with Muhammad and Muhammad v. Romania](#) »)

Property rights and economic interests

- *BPC Lux 2 and Others* (2022) — (« [National legislation on the resolution of credit institutions compatible with the right to property: judgment of the CJEU in the case of BPC Lux 2 and Others](#) »)
- *Freire Lopes* (2023) — (« [Same national legislation examined in Luxembourg and Strasbourg: decision by the ECtHR in the case of Freire Lopes](#) »)
- *AGRO IN 2001* (2020) — (« [Judgment of the CJEU in the case of AGRO IN 2001](#) »)

Sports governance and arbitration

- *Semenya / Royal Football Club Seraing* (2025) — (« [Different but compatible approaches to international sports arbitration: comparing Semenya \(ECtHR\) with Royal Football Club Seraing \(CJEU\)](#) »)

EU agencies and institutional accountability

- *WS and Others v. Frontex* (2025) — (« [From full coverage to patchwork coverage: the Convention in the age of hybrid EU actors – Judgment of the CJEU in WS and Others v. Frontex](#) »)
- *EPPO* (2025) — (« [An elephant in the room: the European Convention on Human Rights and the EPPO – Judgment of the CJEU in the EPPO case](#) »)
- *G.K. and Others* (2023) — (« [Which fundamental rights exactly apply to proceedings of the EPPO? Judgment of the CJEU in the case of G.K. and Others](#) »)